





Masking Does Not Protect Against Fault Attacks

Arnaud.Boscher@spansion.com

Helena.Handschuh@spansion.com

Masking techniques on AES

- Cryptographic algorithms are susceptible to **power analysis attacks**, i.e. measure power consumption, do some statistical analysis and extract the secret keys.
- Masking : for each AES algorithm execution, add a **random vector u** to the plaintext bytes, **a random vector w** to the secret key bytes, and start the computations with u , and w .
- compute the algorithm for both the « masked plaintext » and the « mask » independently
- at the end, add both parts together and recover the correct ciphertext

Example: Boolean masking for AES



- Everything goes fine except for the non-linear Sboxes (table look-up implementation).
- Recompute each Sbox such that $S_u(m \oplus u) = S(m)$.
- Remask with v at the output: $S^v_u(m \oplus u) = S(m) \oplus v$.
- Close-up look on last-round computation on masked state s (ignore Shiftrow here):
$$c = ((S^v_u(s) \oplus (k \oplus w)) \oplus v) \oplus w$$
- Consider 2 different runs with the same plaintext, same key, but different random vectors u, v, w .
- **Ciphertexts will be the same .**

Effects of a single bit-flip e_j in the masked state s



- Introduce a single bit-flip e_j in masked state s of one of the runs.
- $c = ((S^v_u(s) \oplus (k \oplus w)) \oplus v) \oplus w$
- $c = ((S^{v^*}_{u^*}(s^*) \oplus (k \oplus w^*)) \oplus v^*) \oplus w^*$
- $c^* = ((S^{v^*}_{u^*}(s^* \oplus e_j) \oplus (k \oplus w^*)) \oplus v^*) \oplus w^*$
- Add the correct and the faulty ciphertexts.
- $c \oplus c^* = ((S^v_u(s) \oplus (k \oplus w)) \oplus v) \oplus w \oplus ((S^{v^*}_{u^*}(s^* \oplus e_j) \oplus (k \oplus w^*)) \oplus v^*) \oplus w^*$
- $c \oplus c^* = (S^v_u(s) \oplus v) \oplus (S^{v^*}_{u^*}(s^* \oplus e_j) \oplus v^*)$
- $c \oplus c^* = S_u(m \oplus u) \oplus S_{u^*}(m \oplus u^*) \oplus e_j$.
- $c \oplus c^* = S_u(m \oplus u) \oplus S_{u^*}(m \oplus e_j) \oplus u^*$.
- $c \oplus c^* = S(m) \oplus S(m \oplus e_j)$
- even though the faulty computation $S(m \oplus e_j)$ never actually took place.

Key Recovery

- $c \oplus c^* = S(m) \oplus S(m \oplus e_j)$
- Apply differential cryptanalysis on S or S^{-1} and recover one last round subkey byte (a few values may be left here)
- Requires 16 faulty ciphertexts plus their correct versions.
- Plus some exhaustive search or a second faulty ciphertext for each byte since a few different keys remain after the filtering stage.
- This example requires a very strong and precise fault model
- Can be generalised to weaker fault models, other rounds, other masking techniques but the conclusion remains the same...
- We have plenty more examples ;-)



Thank you!

Helena.Handschuh@spansion.com